

Back to irrationality proofs

Thm (Apéry) $\zeta(3) \notin \mathbb{Q}$

Beuker's proof used

$$\textcircled{*} I_N = \int_{[0,1]^3} \left(\frac{x(1-x)y(1-y)z(1-z)}{1 - (1-xy)z} \right)^N \frac{dx dy dz}{1 - (1-xy)z}$$

$$= l_{1,N}^{-1} + l_{2,N} \cdot \zeta(3)$$

$$l_{1,N}, l_{2,N} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

st \bullet $0 < |I_N| < \varepsilon^N$ for some
 $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

\bullet bound the denominators d_N
of $l_{1,N}$ and $l_{2,N}$
 $d_N \cdot \varepsilon^N \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$

Hence, if $\zeta(3) = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$

then $0 < \underbrace{b \cdot I_N \cdot d_N}_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 0$ \rightsquigarrow

Thm (Rivoal, Ball-Rivoal)

The \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned by

$1, \zeta(3), \zeta(5), \dots$

$$r = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{\log^2 a} \right\rfloor$$

is ∞ -dimensional.

$$N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$$

Let l be odd.

$$1 \leq r < \frac{l+3}{2}$$

(**)

$$\int_{[0,1]^l} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l y_j^{r \cdot N} (1-y_j)^N dx_j}{(1-y_1 \dots y_l)^{rN+1} \prod_{2 \leq 2j \leq l-1} (1-y_1 \dots y_{2j})^{N+1}}$$

= \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of

$1, \zeta(3), \zeta(5), \dots, \zeta(l)$

Nesterenko's linear independence criterion

\Rightarrow lower bound for the dimension

of the \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned

by $1, \zeta(3), \dots, \zeta(l)$

Basic cellular integrals

$\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$

rational function on $(\mathbb{P}^1)_*$

$$\tilde{f}_\sigma = \pm \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \frac{z_i - z_{i+1}}{z_{\sigma(i)} - z_{\sigma(i+1)}}$$

n -form on $(\mathbb{P}^1)_*$

$$\tilde{\omega}_\sigma = \pm \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \frac{dz_i}{z_{\sigma(i)} - z_{\sigma(i+1)}}$$

Both \tilde{f}_σ and $\tilde{\omega}_\sigma$ are PGL_2 -invariant and therefore descent to a rational function f_σ on $M_{0,n}$ and an ℓ -form ω_σ on $M_{0,n}$.

basic cellular integral

$$I_\sigma(N) = \left| \int_{S_{\sigma_0}} (f_\sigma)^N \omega_\sigma \right|$$

Generalized cellular integrals

rational function on $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\infty}^n$

$$\tilde{f}_{\sigma}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = \pm \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \frac{(z_i - z_{i+1})^{a_{i,i+1}}}{(z_{\sigma(i)} - z_{\sigma(i+1)})^{b_{\sigma(i),\sigma(i+1)}}}$$

where $\underline{a} = (a_{i,i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$, $\underline{b} = (b_{\sigma(i),\sigma(i+1)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$
and $a_{i,i+1}, b_{\sigma(i),\sigma(i+1)} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
satisfying

$$a_{i-1,i} + a_{i,i+1} = b_{\sigma(j-1),\sigma(j)} + b_{\sigma(j),\sigma(j+1)}$$

whenever $i = \sigma(j)$.

This descends to a rational function

$f_{\sigma}(\underline{a}, \underline{b})$ on $M_{0,n}$.

generalized cellular integral

$$I_{\sigma}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = \left| \int_{S_{\sigma^0}} f_{\sigma}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \omega_{\sigma} \right|$$

Remark: If all $a_{i,i+1}$'s and $b_{\sigma(j),\sigma(j+1)}$'s equal N , the generalized cellular integral equals the basic cellular integral

$$I_{\sigma}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = I_{\sigma}(N)$$

Prop: For $n=6$, $\ell=n-3=3$, $\sigma=(6, 2, 4, 1, 5, 3)$, the basic cellular integral equals \otimes in Beukers' proof of Apéry's theorem.

Proof:

In simplicial coordinates

$$z_1 = 0, \quad z_5 = 1, \quad z_6 = \infty$$

$$t_1 = z_2, \quad t_2 = z_3, \quad t_3 = z_4$$

$$f_{\sigma} = \pm \frac{t_1 (t_1 - t_2) (t_2 - t_3) (t_3 - 1)}{(t_1 - t_3) t_3 (1 - t_2)}$$

$$\omega_{\sigma} = \pm \frac{dt_1 dt_2 dt_3}{(t_1 - t_3) t_3 (1 - t_2)}$$

In cubical coordinates

$$t_1 = x_1 x_2 x_3, \quad t_2 = x_2 x_3, \quad t_3 = x_3$$

$$f_\sigma = \pm \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3 (x_1 x_2 x_3 - x_2 x_3) (x_2 x_3 - x_3) (x_3 - 1)}{(x_1 x_2 x_3 - x_3) x_3 (1 - x_2 x_3)}$$

$$= \pm \frac{x_1 x_2^2 x_3 (x_1 - 1) (x_2 - 1) (x_3 - 1)}{(x_1 x_2 - 1) (1 - x_2 x_3)}$$

$$x_2 \cdot x_3^2 \cdot dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 = dt_1 dt_2 dt_3$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_\sigma = \pm \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 x_2 x_3^2}{(x_1 x_2 x_3 - x_3) x_3 (1 - x_2 x_3)}$$

$$= \pm \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \cdot x_2}{(x_1 x_2 - 1) (1 - x_2 x_3)}$$

Last coordinate change

$$x_1 = \frac{1-y}{1-xy}, \quad x_2 = 1-xy, \quad x_3 = z$$

$$f_\sigma = \pm \frac{(1-y) \cdot z \cdot (1-xy) \left(\frac{1-y}{1-xy} - 1 \right) xy (1-z)}{y (1 - (1-xy)z)}$$

$$= \pm \frac{(1-y)z \cdot y \cdot (1-x) \cdot x \cdot (1-z)}{1 - (1-xy)z}$$

$$\pm dx dy dz \cdot \frac{y}{1-xy} = \pm dx_1 dx_2 dx_3$$

$$\omega_\sigma = \pm \frac{dx dy dz \cdot \cancel{y} \cdot \cancel{(1-xy)}}{(\cancel{1-xy}) \cdot \cancel{y} \cdot (1 - (1-xy)z)}$$

$$= \pm \frac{dx dy dz}{1 - (1-xy)z}$$

□

Now let $m \geq 3$, $n = 2m$,

$$\pi = (2m, 2, 2m-1, 3, 2m-2, 4, \dots, m, 1, m+1)$$

Example: For $m = 3$

$$\pi = (6, 2, 5, 3, 1, 4)$$

Remark: The configuration $[S^\circ, \pi S^\circ]$ is convergent.

Prop: Let $1 \leq r < m$.

Set $a_{m,m+1} = a_{2m,1} = b_{m+1,2m} = b_{m,1} = r \cdot N$
and all other $a_{i,i+1}$ and $b_{\pi(j),\pi(j+1)}$
equal N .

Then the generalized cellular
integral $I_{\pi}(\underline{a}, \underline{b})$ equals $(**)$
in Ball-Rivoals theorem.

Sketch: Note that

$$I_{\pi}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = \left| \int_{S_{S_0}} f_{\pi}^N \cdot g^{(r-1) \cdot N} \omega_{\pi} \right|$$

where
$$g = \frac{(z_m - z_{m+1})(z_{2m} - z_1)}{(z_{m+1} - z_{2m})(z_m - z_1)}$$

Simplicial coordinates

$$z_1 = 0, z_{n-1} = 1, z_n = \infty$$

$$t_i = z_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, l$$

Change to cubical coordinates

$$t_i = x_i \dots x_\ell \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \ell$$

Coordinate change

$$x_{m-1} = 1 - s_\ell$$

$$x_i = \frac{s_{2i-1} - 1}{s_{2i} - 1} \quad x_{m-1+i} = \frac{s_{\ell+1-2i} - 1}{s_{\ell+2-2i} - 1}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m-2$

Last coordinate change

$$s_i = y_1 \dots y_i \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell$$

Then

$$f_\pi = \pm \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} y_i (1 - y_i)}{(1 - y_1 \dots y_\ell) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} (1 - y_1 \dots y_{2i})}$$

$$g = \pm \frac{y_1 \dots y_\ell}{1 - y_1 \dots y_\ell}$$

$$\omega_\pi = \pm \frac{dy_1 \dots dy_\ell}{(1 - y_1 \dots y_\ell) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} (1 - y_1 \dots y_{2i})}$$



The basic and generalized cellular integrals are periods of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$.

Question: Can we get more irrationality proofs like this?

Def (multiple zeta value short: MZV)

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{\substack{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \geq 1 \\ s_i > 1, \text{ all } s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_k^{s_k}}$$

weight $s_1 + \dots + s_k$

Thm (Brown)

The periods of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ are $\mathbb{Q}[2\pi i]$ -linear combinations of MZV's of weight $\leq \ell = n-3$

Example: For $n=6$ we get a linear combination of weight 0 $=: 1$

weight 1 $\Rightarrow 2\pi i$

weight 2 $\Rightarrow \zeta(2)$ Euler

weight 3 $\Rightarrow \zeta(2,1) \stackrel{!}{=} \zeta(3)$

To prove irrationality of $\zeta(3)$, one wants the coefficients of $2\pi i$ and $\zeta(2)$ to vanish.

Vanishing problem

Find an l -form ω and a connected component S_g of $M_{0,n}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. the coefficients of certain MZV's $I = \int_{S_g} \omega$ vanish.

We can rephrase the vanishing problem in terms of cohomology.

Let $A = \text{Sing } \omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{D irred boundary} \\ \text{divisor: } \nu_D(\omega) < 0 \end{array} \right\}$

$B = \{ \text{irred boundary divisors in} \}$

the boundary of S_g }

Then $H^l(\overline{M}_{0,n} \setminus A, B \setminus (A \cap B)) =: m(A, B)$
has a "mixed Hodge structure".

In particular it is equipped with
an increasing weight filtration W .

Thm 8.1 & Cor 8.2 in Brown's paper

If $gr_{2m}^W m(A, B) = 0$,
then the coefficients of MZV's
of weight m vanish.

Vanishing problem: Find boundary
divisors A, B of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ with no
common irreducible component st.
 $gr_{2m}^W m(A, B) = 0$.

$gr_{2m}^w m(A, B)$ can be computed with the relative cohomology spectral sequences and in Appendix 3 of Brown's paper Brown shows the following.

Def: A boundary divisor $A \in \overline{M}_{0,n}$ is called **cellular** if there exists a dihedral structure \mathcal{S} st the irreducible components of A are exactly the irreducible boundary divisors at finite distance wrt \mathcal{S} .

Thm 11.2 Suppose $A, B \in \overline{M}_{0,n}$ are cellular boundary divisors with no common irreducible component.

Then

$$gr_2^w m(A, B) = gr_{2e-2}^w m(A, B) = 0.$$

Example:

If $n=5$ and $gr_2^w m(A, B) = 0$

then we get a linear combination
of 1 and $\zeta(2)$.

If $n=6$ and $gr_2^w m(A, B) = gr_4^w m(A, B) = 0$

then we get a linear combination
of 1 and $\zeta(3)$.

If $n=8$ and $gr_2^w m(A, B) = gr_8^w m(A, B) = 0$

then we get a linear combination
of 1 , $\zeta(3)$ and $\zeta(5)$.

To show that $\zeta(5)$ is irrational
one would need the coefficient of
 $\zeta(3)$ to vanish as well.